BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Gregory Scott Chair
Marshall Johnson Commissioner
LeRoy Koppendrayer Commissioner
Phyllis A. Reha Commissioner

In the Matter of the Complaint of Desktop Media, Inc. Against Qwest Corporation Regarding Interconnection Terms ISSUE DATE: October 28, 2002

DOCKET NO. P-421/C-02-1597

NOTICE AND ORDER FOR HEARING

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On December 15, 2000, the Commission approved the interconnection agreement (ICA) between Desktop Media, Inc. (Desktop) and Qwest Corporation (Qwest) in Docket No. P-5934, 421/IC-00-1509. Desktop adopted the AT&T - U S WEST interconnection agreement.

On September 24, 2002, Desktop filed a complaint against Qwest pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 237.462. The complaint alleged that Qwest has violated the terms of its ICA with Desktop, thus hindering Desktop's ability to compete in the market. Desktop requested an expedited proceeding to resolve its complaint.

On October 10, 2002, Qwest filed an answer denying that the Commission has jurisdiction over Counts 2 and 3 of Desktop's complaint, objecting to an expedited hearing of this matter, and requesting that the complaint be dismissed.

The Commission met on October 16, 2002 to consider this matter and issued its ORDER ASSERTING JURISDICTION, FINDING REASONABLE GROUNDS TO INVESTIGATE, AND DECIDING TO REFER THE MATTER TO OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS in this matter on October 28, 2002.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

I. JURISDICTION AND REFERRAL FOR CONTESTED CASE PROCEEDINGS

The Commission has jurisdiction over all counts of Desktop's complaint. In addition to the grounds provided by Desktop in its complaint¹, the Commission notes that clause (3) of Minn. Stat. § 237.462, subd. 1 refers to violations of "an approved interconnection agreement if the violation is material," such violations being the crux of Desktop's allegations.

¹ Desktop cited 47 U.S.C. §§ 252(e) (authority of state commissions to enforce ICAs), 251(c)(2) (duty of incumbent carriers to interconnect with CLECs); Minn. Stat. §§ 237.081 (Commission investigations), 237.462 (competitive enforcement); and Part A, Section 11.1 of the ICA (continuing jurisdiction of the Commission to implement and enforce all terms and conditions of the ICA).

The Commission finds that it cannot satisfactorily resolve all questions regarding the issues raised by Desktop and Qwest in this matter on the basis of the parties' filings and oral arguments. For administrative efficiency, the Commission believes that referring the matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings for a contested case hearing is preferable to an expedited hearing under Minn. Stat. § 237.61. The Commission, therefore, will refer the matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings for contested case proceedings pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 237.081, subd. 2 (c).

II. ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED

Parties shall address the following issues in the course of the contested case proceedings ordered herein:

- (1) The issues raised by Desktop's complaint.
- (2) The issues raised by Qwest's answer, including the jurisdiction questions.

The parties may also raise and address other issues relevant to this matter. The ALJ is requested to make findings and recommendations regarding these issues, including the jurisdiction questions.

III. PROCEDURAL OUTLINE

A. Administrative Law Judge

The Administrative Law Judge assigned to this case is Richard C. Luis. His address and telephone number are as follows: Office of Administrative Hearings, Suite 1700, 100 Washington Square, Minnesota 55401-2138; (612) 349-2542.

B. Hearing Procedure

• Controlling Statutes and Rules

Hearings in this matter will be conducted in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act, Minn. Stat. §§ 14.57-14.62; the rules of the Office of Administrative Hearings, Minn. Rules, parts 1400.5100 to 1400.8400; and, to the extent that they are not superseded by those rules, the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Minn. Rules, parts 7829.0100 to 7829.3200.

Copies of these rules and statutes may be purchased from the Print Communications Division of the Department of Administration, 117 University Avenue, St. Paul, Minnesota 55155; (651) 297-3000. These rules and statutes also appear on the State of Minnesota's website at www.revisor.leg.state.mn.us.

The Office of Administrative Hearings conducts contested case proceedings in accordance with the Minnesota Rules of Professional Conduct and the Professionalism Aspirations adopted by the Minnesota State Bar Association.

• Right to Counsel and to Present Evidence

In these proceedings, parties may be represented by counsel, may appear on their own behalf, or may be represented by another person of their choice, unless otherwise prohibited as the unauthorized practice of law. They have the right to present evidence, conduct cross-examination, and make written and oral argument. Under Minn. Rules, part 1400.7000, they may obtain subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents.

Parties should bring to the hearing all documents, records, and witnesses necessary to support their positions.

• Discovery and Informal Disposition

Any questions regarding discovery under Minn. Rules, parts 1400.6700 to 1400.6800 or informal disposition under Minn. Rules, part 1400.5900 should be directed to Kevin O'Grady, Public Utilities Rates Analyst, Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, 121 7th Place East, Suite 350, St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2147, (651) 282-2151; or Karen Hammel, Assistant Attorney General, 1100 NCL Tower, 445 Minnesota Street, St. Paul, Minnesota 55101, (651) 297-1852.

• Protecting Not-Public Data

State agencies are required by law to keep some data not public. Parties must advise the Administrative Law Judge if not-public data is offered into the record. They should take note that any not-public data admitted into evidence may become public unless a party objects and requests relief under Minn. Stat. § 14.60, subd. 2.

• Accommodations for Disabilities; Interpreter Services

At the request of any individual, this agency will make accommodations to ensure that the hearing in this case is accessible. The agency will appoint a qualified interpreter if necessary. Persons must promptly notify the Administrative Law Judge if an interpreter is needed.

• Scheduling Issues

The times, dates, and places of public and evidentiary hearings in this matter will be set by order of the Administrative Law Judge after consultation with the Commission and intervening parties.

• *Notice of Appearance*

Any party intending to appear at the hearing must file a notice of appearance (Attachment A) with the Administrative Law Judge within 20 days of the date of this Notice and Order for Hearing.

• Sanctions for Non-compliance

Failure to appear at a prehearing conference, a settlement conference, or the hearing, or failure to comply with any order of the Administrative Law Judge, may result in facts or issues being resolved against the party who fails to appear or comply.

C. Parties and Intervention

The current parties to this case are the Companies and the Minnesota Department of Commerce. Other persons wishing to become formal parties shall promptly file petitions to intervene with the Administrative Law Judge. They shall serve copies of such petitions on all current parties and on the Commission. Minn. Rules, part 1400.6200.

D. Prehearing Conference

A prehearing conference will be held in this case on Monday, November 4, 2002 at 1:30 p.m. in the Large Hearing Room, Public Utilities Commission, 121-7th Place East, Suite 350, St. Paul, Minnesota 55101. Persons participating in the prehearing conference should be prepared to discuss time frames, scheduling, discovery procedures, and similar issues. Potential parties are invited to attend the pre-hearing conference and to file their petitions to intervene as soon as possible.

E. Time Constraints

The Commission takes allegations that Qwest has improperly and intentionally inhibited Desktop in its ability to compete effectively in the local telecommunications market seriously. Promotion of effective competition at the local service level is a policy priority established by the Minnesota legislature and embraced by the Commission. Expeditious resolution of complaints such as Desktop's is an important way to advances that policy priority. The Commission respectfully asks the Office of Administrative Hearings to conduct the contested case proceedings in light of these expressions.

IV. APPLICATION OF ETHICS IN GOVERNMENT ACT

The lobbying provisions of the Ethics in Government Act, Minn. Stat. §§ 10A.01 <u>et seq.</u>, apply to general rate cases. Persons appearing in this proceeding may be subject to registration, reporting, and other requirements set forth in that Act. All persons appearing in this case are urged to refer to the Act and to contact the Campaign Finance and Public Disclosure Board, telephone number (651) 296-5148, with any questions.

V. EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS

Restrictions on *ex parte* communications with Commissioners and reporting requirements regarding such communications with Commission staff apply to this proceeding from the date of this Order. Those restrictions and reporting requirements are set forth at Minn. Rules, parts 7845.7300-7845.7400, which all parties are urged to consult.

ORDER

- 1. The Commission hereby refers this case to the Office of Administrative Hearings for contested case proceedings, as set forth above.
- 2. A prehearing conference shall be held on Monday, November 4, 2002 at 1:30 p.m. in the Large Hearing Room, Public Utilities Commission, 121-7th Place East, Suite 350, St. Paul, Minnesota 55101.

3. This Order shall become effective immediately.	
	BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION
	Burl W. Haar Executive Secretary
(S E A L)	
This document can be made available in alternative formats (i.e., large print or audio tape) by calling (651) 297-4596 (voice), (651) 297-1200 (TTY), or 1-800-627-3529 (TTY relay service).	
	5

BEFORE THE MINNESOTA OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS 100 Washington Square, Suite 1700 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401-2138

FOR THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 121 Seventh Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2147

In the Matter of the Complaint of Desktop MPUC Docket No. P-421/C-02-1597 Media, Inc. Against Owest Corporation Regarding Interconnection Terms OAH Docket No. **NOTICE OF APPEARANCE** Name, Address and Telephone Number of Administrative Law Judge: Richard C. Luis, Office of Administrative Hearings, Suite, 1700, 100 Washington Square, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401; (612) 349-2542 TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You are advised that the party named below will appear at the above hearing. NAME OF PARTY: ADDRESS: TELEPHONE NUMBER: PARTY'S ATTORNEY OR OTHER REPRESENTATIVE: **OFFICE ADDRESS:** TELEPHONE NUMBER: SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY:_____

DATE: _____